

					10 of RFP vide page 75-76.
2014-15	Dynamic label & Packaging	1,04,51,000.00	Branding	90 days	Document is not submitted as per format 10 of RFP vide page 75-76. The attached work order is of supply of books to different schools
	National Aids control Organization	48,93,205.00	Branding	As per PO	Document is not found in the submitted proposal as per format 10 of RFP vide page 75-76.
	Govt of NCT Delhi	8,77,500.00	Branding	30 days	No relevant document found in the submitted proposal as per format 10 of RFP vide page no 75-76. The attached certificate of FY 2014-15 issued for carrying out various event management and designs, logistic and ambiance preparation. There is no mention of amount in the certificate to justify the selection criteria.
	Govt of NCT Delhi		Branding	30 days	
MCD Delhi		Branding	15 days		

3. More ever the submitted proposal is not technically qualified as it is not responsive to the selection procedure criteria as mentioned in the RFP vide page no 31 of paragraph 1.
4. The submitted technical proposal is disqualified as per page no 39 of RFP "The authority reserves the right to reject any proposal if material misrepresentation by the bidder or any of its members in case of consortium.
5. "Further the under signed of the RFP (Mission Director) reserve the right to reject any or all tenders without any reasons there.of "as per the RFP vide page no 40.

Therefore the technical bid is disqualified due to improper bidding as per the available documents submitted by Space 4 Business solutions Private Limited. Technical evaluation is absolutely free and faire as per the selection procedures as per the approved and agreed RFP of Facility branding. For further details please refer to the published RFP and its respective corrigendum from July to September 2016 along with minutes of the meeting available in www.upnrhm.gov.in


Dr. S. M. WAZIR RIZVI
 General Manager (EC)
 SPMU NHM, Uttar Pradesh

Technical Evaluation of Space 4 business Solutions Pvt.Ltd

Space 4 business solution private Limited is not technically qualified due to the following reasons

1. The tender document is not submitted as per the technical requirement referring to RFP page number 36 (Sealing and signing of Proposal)
 - a. Proposal not given as per the required formats specially Format 10 in pages 75 of the RFP.
 - b. Pages of submitted proposal are not numbered as per the requirement mentioned in RFP
 - c. The submitted proposal is not properly bound and has loose papers which are not acceptable as per requirement mentioned in RFP.
2. Details of previous work experience Referring to Selection procedures 3.6 of the RFP vide page no 30- to 32 is not strictly followed by the bidders. Hence the bidder is liable for 0 (Zero) number under selection criteria number 1. The details of which are mentioned below:-


Financial Year	Name of Client and Departments	Cost of Work	Nature of work as proposal	Duration	Remarks
2012-13	Census Department GO of India	39,75,000.00	Branding	26 days	Document is not found in the submitted proposal as per format 10 of RFP vide page 75-76.
	Govt of NCT Delhi	2,21,617.20	Branding	2 days	No relevant document found in the submitted proposal as per format 10 of RFP vide page no 75-76. The attached certificate of FY 2014-15 issued for carrying out various event management and designs, logistic and ambience preparation. There is no mention of amount in the certificate to justify the selection criteria.
	Govt of NCT Delhi	7,56,462.00	Branding	1 days	
	Govt of NCT Delhi	50,79,980.00	Branding	2 month	
2013-14	Govt of NCT Delhi	45,80,305.00	Branding	1 month	No relevant document found in the submitted proposal as per format 10 of RFP vide page no 75-76. The attached certificate of FY 2014-15 issued for carrying out various event management and designs, logistic and ambience preparation. There is no mention of amount in the certificate to justify the selection criteria.
	Govt of NCT Delhi	10,97,966.00	Branding	1 month	
	Dynamic label & Packaging	1,03,96,000.00	Branding	90 days	Document is not found in the submitted proposal as per format


Dr. S. K. RAJINDER RIZVI
General Manager (EC)
SPMU HUDA, Uttar Pradesh

Technical Evaluation of NAC motors Private Motors Limited

NAC Motors Private Limited had bid for Zone A, B and E. The technical bid is disqualified due to following reasons

1. The Bid document did not have the EMD in DD as per the requirement of RFP vide page 37 hence the bid is disqualified. On the other hand the bid did not have any relevant document to justify the bid as per the RFP. The agency submitted Bankers cheque which is not acceptable as per the RFP.



Banker cheque submitted by NAC Motors

Technical Evaluation of Avon media Limited

Avon Media Private Limited had bid for Zone C and D. B and E. The technical bid is disqualified due to following reasons

1. The Bid document did not have the EMD at all as per the pre qualification in DD as per the requirement of RFP vide page 37 hence the bid is disqualified. On the other hand the bid did not have any relevant document to justify the bid as per the RFP.


Dr. S. M. WAIDER RIZVI
General Manager (M&E)
SPRU NHA, UPR, Prakash