Financial Review - Division lucknow Visit Report

Team Member-

Dr Abu Talha, General Manager, HQ Mr Abhishek Yadav, Consultant NP-CD

Mr Wahid Rijvi, Auditor, Finance Division, SPMU

Day-1 Date-03.02.2024

Review of activity-wise expenditure was organized with ACMO, DPM and DAM of the 6 districts of Lucknow division in presence of Divisional PM and Dvisional Account Manager.

Status of expenditure during the financial review-

	NHM Expenditue Rank Against DHAP Districts wise dt. 2-02-2024 Rs.in Lacs									
Sr.n o	Districts Name	Relesed DHAP	Committe d Budget	Total DHAP	Expenditu re	Balance DHAP	Expendit ue % against total Budget			
	Districts Total	869516.27	157061.41	1026577.68	442796.10	583781.57	43.13			
1	DHS, LUCKNOW, UP [NHMUP]	35572.75	8727.12	44299.86	18035.67	26264.19	40.71			
2	DHS, SITAPUR, UP [NHMUP]	19483.77	5738.38	25222.15	10257.72	14964.43	40.67			
3	DHS, RAEBARELI, UP [NHMUP]	12935.28	1781.76	14717.04	6267.00	8450.04	42.58			
4	DHS, UNNAO, UP [NHMUP]	11643.58	2651.55	14295.13	6072.34	8222.79	42.48			
5	DHS, LAKHIMPUR KHERI, UP [NHMUP]	16966.34	2530.25	19496.59	9823.76	10682.76	45.21			
6	DHS, HARDOI, UP [NHMUP]	16167.23	4419.21	20586.43	10058.88	10527.55	48.86			

Major Issues-

- Major budget allocated to districts and Committed by the districts is for ASHA Incentive, PBI, TBI, Training, IEC and procurement.
- ASHA incentive claimed by ASHA as follows-75% incentive claimed only in – 13 heads out of 72 heads
- CHO has been engaged in other works by districts such as Golden cards etc. so PBI is not being claimed
- ASHA Sammelan and SAS-BAHU Sammelan not held
- IDSP-Incentive to ASHA for COVID duration not paid.
- There is wrong booking in expenditure in some heads like Salary heads, and other head where budget is not available.
- Block level- BAM and BPM was not aware about the Guidelines.

Day-2 Date-04.02.2024 District-wise review visit

District-Lucknow

Major budget transferred to Medical colleges (SGPGI, KGMU, RMLMIS, KSCRI) and the UCs are awaited for booking expenditure on FAMS.

District-Raebareilly

- No expenditure in 128 heads
- Less than 75% expenditure in 316 heads
- Above 75% expenditure in 78 heads

District-Sitapur

- 392 entries was pending for approval in FAMS in Sitapur district
- No expenditure in 265 heads
- Above 75% expenditure in 80 heads
- Wrong booking of salary of contractual HR on FAMS portal.
- Many files are pending for approval and payments at CMSD after receiving the bills.
- Backlog payment of approximate Rs 8.00 Cr for cataract surgery under NPCB programme was pending due to verification.
- Nikhay Poshan Yojna-under NTEP payment is only 26% against the released budget of Rs. 5.00 Cr.

District- Hardoi

- No expenditure in 120 heads
- Less than 75% expenditure in 384 heads
- Above 75% expenditure in 159 heads

NHM Expenditue Rank Against DHAP Districts wise dt. 5-2-2024 Rs.in Lacs										
Sr.No	Districts Name	DHAP	Committed Budget	Total DHAP	Expenditure	Balance DHAP	Expenditue % against total	Expenditure Between 2-2-24 to 4-		
	Lucknow Division	112768.94	25848.27	138617.21	61667.04	76950.17	Budget 44.49	2-24 2161.60		
50	DHS, HARDOI, UP	16167.23	4419.21	20586.43	10432.77	10153.66	F0.60			
51	[NHMUP] DHS, LAKHIMPUR	16966.34	2520.25			10133.00	50.68	373.90		
	KHERI, UP [NHMUP]	20300:34	2530.25	19496.59	9391.03	10105.56	48.17	577.20		
52	DHS, LUCKNOW,	35572.75	8727.12	44299.86	10425 50					
53	UP [NHMUP] DHS, RAEBARELI,	1200-		14233.00	18436.62	25863.25	41.62	400.95		
	UP [NHMUP]	12935.28	1781.76	14717.04	6667.77	8049.27	45.31	400.77		
54	DHS, SITAPUR, UP [NHMUP]	19483.77	5738.38	25222.15	10580.24	14641.91	41.95	322.52		
55	DHS, UNNAO, UP	11642.50			10380.24	14041.91	41.55	322.32		
	,, UP	11643.58	2651.55	14295.13	6158.60	8136.53	43.08	86.26		